arXiv:1302.6019v1 [math.FA] 25 Feb 2013

ON DENTABILITY IN LOCALLY CONVEX VECTOR SPACES

Oleg Reinov and Asfand Fahad

§0. Preliminaries

For a locally convex vector space (l.c.v.s.) E and an (absolutely convex) neighborhood V of zero, a bounded subset A of E is said to be V*-dentable* (respectively, V*-f-dentable*) if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $x \in A$ so that

$$x \notin \overline{\text{co}}\,(A \setminus (x + \epsilon V))$$

(respectively, so that

$$x \notin \text{co}\,(A \setminus (x + \epsilon V))\,).$$

Here, ”$\overline{\text{co}}$” denotes the closure in E of the convex hull of a set.

We present a theorem which says that for a wide class of bounded subsets B of locally convex vector spaces the following is true:

(V) every subset of B is V-dentable if and only if every subset of B is V-f-dentable.

The proof is purely geometrical and independent of any related facts.

As a consequence (in the particular case where B is complete convex bounded metrizable subset of a l.c.v.s.), we obtain a positive solution to a 1978-hypothesis of an American mathematician Elias Saab (see p. 290 in ”On the Radon-Nikodym property in a class of locally convex spaces”, Pacific J. Math. 75, No. 1, 1978, 281-291).

§1. A proposition

Proposition.. *Let B be a bounded sequentially complete convex metrizable subset of a locally convex vector space E, V is a neighborhood of zero in E. The following are equivalent:*

1). *B is subset V-dentable*[1]*;*

2). *B is subset V-f-dentable.*

The research is supported by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan and by grant 12-01-00216 of RFBR.

✠ AMS Subject Classification 2010: 46B22 Radon-Nikodym, Krein-Milman and related properties; 46A55 Convex sets in topological linear spaces; Choquet theory.

Key words: dentability, dentable sets, locally convex spaces.

[1] ”subset Π” means that every subset of a set is Π.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

Proof. Clearly, 1) $\implies$ 2). Let d be a metric in B which gives the topology on B, induced from E. For $x \in B$, denote by $\overline{D}_\varepsilon(x)$ the e-ball in B with a center at x. We may and do assume that V is absolutely convex.

Given 2), suppose that there is a subset $K \subset B$, which is not V-dentable, i.e. there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $x \in \overline{\text{co}}(K \setminus (2\varepsilon V + x))$ for all $x \in K$. Put (for a later use) $k(i, 0) = 0$ if $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. Fix $x_{01} \in K$ and take $x_{1j} \in K$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, k(0, 1))$ such that

$$x_{1j} \notin x_{01} + 2\varepsilon V, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad x_{1j} - x_{01} \notin 2\varepsilon V;$$

$$d(x_{01}, \sum_{j=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j} x_{1j}) < \varepsilon_0/8,$$

and

$$\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_0}(x_{01}) \subset \varepsilon/8\, V + x_{01},$$

where $\alpha_{1j} \geq 0$, $\sum_j \alpha_{1j} = 1$ and ε_0 is such that $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon/8$.

Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ be such a number that $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0/2$, $x_{1j} - x_{01} \notin (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1)V$, $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_1(x_{1j})} \subset \varepsilon/8\, V + x_{1j}$ and $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_1}(x_{1j}) \cap [(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_1)V + x_{01}] = \emptyset$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k(0, 1) =: n(0)$.

For every x_{1m} take $(x_{2j}) \subset K$ $(j = k(1, m-1) + 1, \ldots, k(1, m))$ so that

$$x_{2j} - x_{1m} \notin 2\varepsilon V,$$

$$d(x_{1m}, \sum_{j=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j} x_{2j}) < \varepsilon_1/4$$

and

$$d(\sum_{j_1=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j_1} \sum_{j_2=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j_2} x_{2j_2}, \sum_{j_1=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j_1} x_{1j_1}) < \varepsilon_1/4,$$

where $\alpha_{2j} \geq 0$, $\sum_{j_2=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j_2} = 1$ (we use the continuity of the metric d; see the next step).

Let $n(1) = k(1, n(0))$ and ε_2 be such that $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1/2$, $x_{1m} - x_{2j} \notin (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_2)V$, $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_2(x_{2j})} \subset \varepsilon/8\, V + x_{2j}$ and $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_2}(x_{2j}) \cap [(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_2)V + x_{1m}] = \emptyset$ for every pair of indices $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n(0)$ and $j = k(1, m-1) + 1, \ldots, k(1, m)$.

Now, find a $\delta_2 > 0, \delta_2 < \varepsilon_2/4^2$, with a property that it follows from $(\tilde{x}_{2j}) \subset B$ and $\max_j d(x_{2j}, \tilde{x}_{2j}) < \delta_2$ that for all $m = 1, 2, \ldots, k(0, 1)$

$$d(\sum_{j_2=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j_2} x_{2j_2}, \sum_{j_2=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j_2} \tilde{x}_{2j_2}) < \varepsilon_2/4^2$$

and

$$d(\sum_{j_1=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j_1} \sum_{j_2=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j_2} x_{2j_2}, \sum_{j_1=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j_1} \sum_{j_2=k(1,m-1)+1}^{k(1,m)} \alpha_{2j_2} \tilde{x}_{2j_2}) < \varepsilon_2/4^2$$

For every x_{2m} take $(x_{3j}) \subset K$ $(j = k(2, m-1)+1, \ldots, k(2, m))$ so that

$$x_{2m} - x_{3j} \notin 2\varepsilon V,$$

$$d(x_{2m}, \sum_{j=k(2,m-1)+1}^{k(2,m)} \alpha_{3j} x_{3j}) < \delta_2 \, (< \varepsilon_2/4^2),$$

where $\alpha_{3j} \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=k(2,m-1)+1}^{k(2,m)} \alpha_{3j} = 1$. Putting $\tilde{x}_{2j} := \sum_{j=k(2,m-1)+1}^{k(2,m)} \alpha_{3j} x_{3j}$, we get the corresponding three inequalities combining the last ones.

And one more step (of induction).

Let $n(2) = k(1, n(1))$ and let ε_3 be such that $0 < \varepsilon_3 < \varepsilon_2/2$, $x_{2m} - x_{3j} \notin (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_3)V$, $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_3(x_{3j})} \subset \varepsilon/8\, V + x_{3j}$ and $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_3}(x_{3j}) \cap [(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_3)V + x_{2m}] = \emptyset$ for every pair of indices $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n(1)$ and $j = k(2, m-1)+1, \ldots, k(2, m)$.

Find a $\delta_3 > 0, \delta_3 < \varepsilon_3/4^3$ such that it follows from $(\tilde{x}_{3j}) \subset B$ and $\max_j d(x_{3j}, \tilde{x}_{3j}) < \delta_3$ that for all $m_2 = 1, 2, \ldots, n(1)$ and $m_1 = 1, 2, \ldots, n(0)$:

$$d(\sum_{j_3=k(2,m_2-1)+1}^{k(2,m_2)} \alpha_{3j_3} x_{3j_3}, \sum_{j_3=k(2,m_2-1)+1}^{k(2,m_2)} \alpha_{3j_3} \tilde{x}_{3j_3}) < \varepsilon_3/4^3,$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(&\sum_{j_2=k(1,m_1-1)+1}^{k(1,m_1)} \alpha_{2j_2} \sum_{j_3=k(2,m_2-1)+1}^{k(2,m_2)} \alpha_{3j_3} x_{3j_3}, \\ &\qquad \sum_{j_2=k(1,m_1-1)+1}^{k(1,m_1)} \alpha_{2j_2} \sum_{j_3=k(2,m_2-1)+1}^{k(2,m_2)} \alpha_{3j_3} \tilde{x}_{3j_3}) < \varepsilon_3/4^3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(&\sum_{j_1=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j_1} \sum_{j_2=k(1,m_1-1)+1}^{k(1,m_1)} \alpha_{2j_2} \sum_{j_3=k(2,m_2-1)+1}^{k(2,m_2)} \alpha_{3j_3} x_{3j_3}, \\ &\qquad \sum_{j_1=1}^{k(0,1)} \alpha_{1j_1} \sum_{j_2=k(1,m_1-1)+1}^{k(1,m_1)} \alpha_{2j_2} \sum_{j_3=k(2,m_2-1)+1}^{k(2,m_2)} \alpha_{3j_3} \tilde{x}_{3j_3}) < \varepsilon_3/4^3. \end{aligned}$$

For every x_{3m_2} $(m_2 = 1, \ldots, n(1))$ take $(x_{4j}) \subset K$ $(j = k(3, m_2 - 1)+1, \ldots, k(3, m_2))$ so that

$$x_{3m_2} - x_{4j} \notin 2\varepsilon V,$$

$$d(x_{3m_2}, \sum_{j=k(3,m_2-1)+1}^{k(3,m_2)} \alpha_{4j} x_{4j}) < \delta_3 \, (< \varepsilon_3/4^3),$$

where $\alpha_{4j} \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=k(3,m_2-1)+1}^{k(3,m_2)} \alpha_{4j} = 1$. Putting $\tilde{x}_{3j_3} := \sum_{j=k(3,m_2-1)+1}^{k(3,m_2)} \alpha_{4j} x_{4j}$, we get four corresponding inequalities combining the last ones.

Then, let $n(3) = k(2, n(2))$ and let ε_4 be such that $0 < \varepsilon_4 < \varepsilon_3/2$, $x_{3m} - x_{4j} \notin (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_4)V$, $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_4(x_{4j})} \subset \varepsilon/8\,V + x_{4j}$ and $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon_4}(x_{4j}) \cap [(\varepsilon + \varepsilon_4)V + x_{3m}] = \emptyset$ for every corresponding pair x_{3m}, x_{4j} etc.

We think that induction is clear.

By this induction, we get a subset $(x_{im})_{i,m} \subset K$ with properties like ones just indicated above for a part of the set. Having this sequences $(x_{im})_{i=0,m=1}^{\infty,n(i-1)}$ (with $n(-1) = 1$) and $(\varepsilon_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in mind, we, for any $q = 1, 2, \ldots$; $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n(i-1)$, put

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(i,m;q) := \sum_{j_{m+1}=k(i,m-1)+1}^{k(i,m)} \alpha_{i+1j_{m+1}} \sum_{j_{m+2}=k(i+1,j_{m+1}-1)+1}^{k(i+1,j_{m+1})} \alpha_{i+2j_{m+2}} \\ \sum_{j_{m+3}=k(i+2,j_{m+2}-1)+1}^{k(i+2,j_{m+2})} \alpha_{i+3j_{m+3}} \cdots \sum_{j_{m+q}=k(i+q-1,j_{m+q-1}-1)+1}^{k(i+q-1,j_{m+q-1})} \alpha_{i+qj_{m+q}} x_{i+qj_{m+q}}, \end{aligned}$$

Then, by triangle inequality, $d(\varphi(i,m;q), x_{im}) \le \varepsilon_i$ and $d(\varphi(i,m;q_1), \varphi(i,m;q_2)) \underset{q_1,q_2}{\rightarrow} 0$. Therefore, for any i and m, there exists $z_{im} \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\,K$ for which $\varphi(i,m;q) \underset{q}{\rightarrow} z_{im}$ in B and

$$(i)\ \ d(z_{im}, x_{im}) \le \varepsilon_i,$$

$$(ii)\ \ z_{im} = \sum_{j=k(i,m-1)+1}^{k(i,m)} \alpha_{i+1j} z_{i+1j}.$$

To obtain the second equality, it is enough to compare the definition of $\varphi(i,m;q)$ with corresponding formulas for $\varphi(i,m;m+1)$ and $\varphi(i+1, j_{m+1}; q)$ to note that

$$\varphi(i,m;q+1) = \sum_{j_{m+1}=k(i,m-1)+1}^{k(i,m)} \alpha_{i+1j_{m+1}} [\varphi(i+1, j_{m+1}; q)].$$

Taking a limit, as $q \to +\infty$, we get (ii).

Fix $i = 1, 2, \ldots$; $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n(i-1)$ and $j = k(i, m-1)+1, \ldots, k(i,m)$. Since $x_{im} - x_{i+1j} \notin (\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{i+1})V$, we get from (i) and the construction that, e.g., $z_{im} - z_{i+1j} \notin (\varepsilon/10)V$. Together with (ii), this gives us a contradiction.

§2. Main Theorem

The proof of the following simple assertion can be given by a reader:

Lemma.. *Let E be a locally convex vector space and let $B \subset E$ be closed bounded convex sequentially complete and having the property that for every $M \subset B$ and for $x \in \overline{M}$ there exists a sequence $x_n \in M$ such that $\lim_n x_n = x$. If B is not V-dentable then there exists a countable set $A \subseteq B$ which is not V-dentable*

Proposition and Lemma yields the following theorem.

Theorem.. *Let E be a locally convex vector space, V is a neighborhood of zero in E and let $B \subset E$ have the following properties: (i) it is closed bounded convex and sequentially complete; (ii) for every $M \subset B$ and for $x \in \overline{M}$ there exists a sequence $x_n \in M$ such that $\lim_n x_n = x$; (iii) each separable subset of B is metrizable.*

Then the following are equivalent:

(i) B is subset V-dentable;

(ii) B is subset V-f-dentable.

Oleg Reinov:
St. Petersburg State University, Dept. Math. and Mech.,
198904, Saint Petersburg, Russia and
Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences,
68-B, New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: orein51@mail.ru

Asfand Fahad:
Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences,
68-B, New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: asfandfahad1@yahoo.com